

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

1. Results of Vision and Objectives Consultation

256 attendees of the exhibition completed feedback forms asking whether they agreed or disagreed with the vision and individual objectives. There was a tendency to miss the first question on each page. The number of agrees, disagrees and no answers were hand tallied for each page and totalled for the whole form. Each form was entered into a spreadsheet which produced the same totals, which enabled immediate validation of the data entry.

		Agree	Disagree	Did not answer	Agree	Disagree	Did not answer
256 respondents							
Our vision is to retain an attractive village feel to Tiptree with a close relationship to its heritage and surrounding countryside. We want to strengthen the supportive community at the heart of Tiptree through sympathetic development whilst at the same time developing a thriving rural centre with a sustainable economy and a robust infrastructure to meet the needs of the community.	Vision	215	0	41	84%	0%	16%
Village Identity and Community							
To deliver development in keeping with the village feel and identity of Tiptree.	VID1	240	3	13	94%	1%	5%
To value and protect our heritage.	VID2	239	4	13	93%	2%	5%
To promote sensitive development that protects and enriches the landscape and the existing settlement whilst meeting the ongoing needs of the community.	VID3	235	3	18	92%	1%	7%
To seek on-going improvements to transport, to utility infrastructure and to digital connectivity.	VID4	239	1	16	93%	0%	6%
To prioritise local distinctiveness in every element of change and growth.	VID5	213	9	34	83%	4%	13%
Be attentive to local opinion in the process of plan-making, monitoring and delivery of development.	VID6	236	1	19	92%	0%	7%
Countryside and Greenspaces							
To integrate green 'corridors' for foot and cycle paths, recreation and wildlife into new developments.	CGS1	238	4	14	93%	2%	5%
To protect existing greenspace, the landscape character and support nature conservation.	CGS2	244	1	11	95%	0%	4%
To protect local wildlife sites and other locally valued habitats.	CGS3	239	2	15	93%	1%	6%
To deliver additional green space with public access as part of new developments in Tiptree and space to roam in beautiful surroundings.	CGS4	233	2	21	91%	1%	8%
To establish a Country Park for Tiptree.	CGS5	182	28	46	71%	11%	18%
Homes and Housing							
Delivery of a housing growth strategy tailored to the needs and context of Tiptree.	HH1	234	4	18	91%	2%	7%
To identify and allocate sites to support the construction and delivery of up to 600 homes by 2033.	HH2	191	40	25	75%	16%	10%
To define clearly a revised settlement boundary for Tiptree.	HH3	220	8	28	86%	3%	11%

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

256 respondents		Agree	Disagree	Did not answer	Agree	Disagree	Did not answer
To include variety and choice to meet existing and future needs in terms of housing type and tenure.	HH4	229	7	20	89%	3%	8%
To promote developments with sufficient off-road parking, front gardens and varied housing densities as befits a rural community.	HH5	238	5	13	93%	2%	5%
To favour new developments to the north and west of the village.	HH6	171	54	31	67%	21%	12%
Traffic and Transport							
To provide multiple access routes to new estates.	TT1	190	24	42	74%	9%	16%
To avoid increased congestion on existing roads and junctions in and around Tiptree by steering development to the north/west edge of the village.	TT2	195	35	26	76%	14%	10%
To promote steady and safe traffic flow through the village centre in order to help maintain a viable shopping centre.	TT3	230	5	21	90%	2%	8%
To promote the provision of cycleways and footpaths from new developments into the village centre.	TT4	233	5	18	91%	2%	7%
To improve access to Kelvedon and Witham railway stations and the A12 north and south.	TT5	235	5	16	92%	2%	6%
In the long term to relieve traffic on Church Road.	TT6	204	18	34	80%	7%	13%
Village Centre							
To maintain and improve a safe, welcoming and attractive village centre.	VC1	240	1	15	94%	0%	6%
Sustaining and improving the excellent local facilities for existing and new residents.	VC2	234	3	19	91%	1%	7%
To promote the provision of sufficient essential services and shops as close to the village centre as possible to service the community.	VC3	234	5	17	91%	2%	7%
To facilitate the re-location of other trades that choose to move away from the centre to make way for village centre amenities.	VC4	196	20	40	77%	8%	16%
To promote sufficient public car parking spaces in the village centre.	VC5	222	14	20	87%	5%	8%
To improve pedestrian and mobility vehicle accessibility and safety for all users.	VC6	229	3	24	89%	1%	9%
Business and Employment							
To strengthen and support local economic activity and local economic areas.	BE1	230	3	23	90%	1%	9%
To encourage small businesses and local employment.	BE2	232	3	21	91%	1%	8%
To promote accessible business park(s) for new and existing businesses.	BE3	209	23	24	82%	9%	9%

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

2. Demographics

Overall Demographics of those who responded

Age	0-18	2	1%	Live in Tiptree	y	238	93%
	19-35	6	2%		n	13	5%
	36-50	16	7%		blank	5	2%
	51-65	57	23%				
	66+	165	67%				
Total:		246				256	

Note: Not all responding gave their age

Statistics for those (128) who commented

Objective code key is given in the table above, see 1 Results of Vision and Objectives Consultation

Objective code	Agree	Disagree	Null	Not +ve	Agree	Disagree	Null	Not +ve
HH6	76	41	11	52	59%	32%	9%	41%
HH2	85	31	12	43	66%	24%	9%	34%
TT2	84	30	14	44	66%	23%	11%	34%
CGS5	81	23	24	47	63%	18%	19%	37%
TT1	85	22	21	43	66%	17%	16%	34%
BE3	92	22	14	36	72%	17%	11%	28%
TT6	92	16	20	36	72%	13%	16%	28%
VC4	96	16	16	32	75%	13%	13%	25%
VC5	104	13	11	24	81%	10%	9%	19%
VID5	105	7	16	23	82%	5%	13%	18%
HH3	110	6	12	18	86%	5%	9%	14%
HH4	112	6	10	16	88%	5%	8%	13%
TT3	111	5	12	17	87%	4%	9%	13%
TT5	114	5	9	14	89%	4%	7%	11%
VC3	111	5	12	17	87%	4%	9%	13%
VID2	119	4	5	9	93%	3%	4%	7%
TT4	113	4	11	15	88%	3%	9%	12%
VID1	116	3	9	12	91%	2%	7%	9%
HH1	115	3	10	13	90%	2%	8%	10%
HH5	118	3	7	10	92%	2%	5%	8%
VC6	111	3	14	17	87%	2%	11%	13%
BE2	114	3	11	14	89%	2%	9%	11%
VID3	117	2	9	11	91%	2%	7%	9%
CGS1	119	2	7	9	93%	2%	5%	7%
CGS4	115	2	11	13	90%	2%	9%	10%
VC2	115	2	11	13	90%	2%	9%	10%
BE1	114	2	12	14	89%	2%	9%	11%
VID4	118	1	9	10	92%	1%	7%	8%
VID6	119	1	8	9	93%	1%	6%	7%
CGS2	121	1	6	7	95%	1%	5%	5%
CGS3	121	1	6	7	95%	1%	5%	5%
VC1	119	1	8	9	93%	1%	6%	7%
Vision	103	0	25	25	80%	0%	20%	20%

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

Demographics of those who commented

Age	0-18	1	1%	Live in Tiptree	y	114	89%
	19-35	2	2%		n	11	9%
	36-50	9	7%		blank	3	2%
	51-65	30	25%				
	66+	80	66%				
Total:		122				128	

Note: Not all responding gave their age

3. Comments Received

A. Housing / Not 600 houses/ postpone – 37 comments

- No more housing needed until infrastructure is improved and implemented.
- I feel that sufficient new homes have already been built otherwise we will be overdeveloped.
- Tiptree housing should be left to minimum, not to be dominated by Colchester Council
- Eating into more space in Tiptree
- Better provision of doctors, dentist and schools should be in place before new development
- 600 homes. How can we support that?
- More properties will only make it worse.
- Any new housing should be rejected until these needs are provided.
- We need to keep this area natural HH6
- Infrastructure need to improve before future building
- To stop the build of the 600 houses we do not want them
- 600 homes within 15 years is too large, infrastructure will not be in place.
- Basically, saying no more building housing estates. Tiptree is big enough and we don't have the facilities.
- Only small new estates required.
- I feel that 600 homes to be built by 2033 is nowhere near enough for the way Tiptree has expanded in the last 20 years and continues to grow at a very fast pace.
- Please insist there is a significant portion of new homes for our own youngsters
- Purely because I live in that area and being selfish
- Comment: No further development until another doctors has been built.
- The emphasis on housing young people otherwise you will be short of a primary school and the sixth form at Thurstable goes.
- The residents in these houses would need to access the village centre. Crossing the Maldon Road would be needed and this is a very busy road.
- The figure of 600 homes may be high and is dependent on other improvement in the village.
- HH2 600 homes need to be in line with the infrastructure, and although the timescale is in the future I feel it is excessive.
- Varied styles as well as densities.
- I'm afraid it's nimbyism; I live in that area also development to the north in area 'A' might be somewhat detached and remote from the existing settlement considering the current road to Colchester.
- Should not agree to the figure of 600, reduce it.
- HH6 again an open mind and balance is needed. An approach to development all around the village is a better balance and environmental and wildlife issues arise. There are various options east and south. TT2 NW is mentioned at least twice implying mindset closed. Closed minds or a done deal, balance please. Options to south and east abound - Wilkins planning

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

- I don't think the north or south of the village are the right places for huge developments of housing. One entrance to estates should be adequate especially as it may mean that the development is kept small.
- I think all areas around the village should be considered so there is a balance to the development / building of 600 houses
- It's very important to build houses to home people out of over-developed areas e.g. London into the countryside where we have lots of land. More people = better local economy
- Development should be spread around and not concentrated in one or two areas. In general, concentration of things in smaller area can be detrimental the whole village. From data on page 3 and 4. B & C are identified as 'best location' for development. Therefore, these should be considered as potential development sites.
- 600 homes are too many. I live in the north of the village and the Maldon Road is already horrendously busy with many more cars.
- Developments should be spread around all parts of the village i.e. B & C are seen as 'best sections' by a substantial number in the survey
- Mainly I do not agree to increasing the size of the village, we don't want a township.
- Totally disagree with 600 homes in one area.
- Live on the west side of Tiptree
- Keep it rural
- Number of houses already passed for development is enough for services to sustain.

B. Country Park / Open space – 33 comments

- All very fine! But where? We already have the Heath, Park Lane, Grove Lake, Pod's Wood, Birch Wood
- Development of west Tiptree is too broadly open, priority there must be a country park with housing on the fringe.
- I have concern that development on the west side of Tiptree will threaten the area round Inworth grange pits, which is a haven for valued wildlife.
- Area E would facilitate both housing and country park due to its size and the old gravel pits with access to the A12 without passing through the village.
- Area E would facilitate both housing and country park due to its size and the old gravel pits with access to the A12 without passing through the village.
- There are enough [garden areas] which if used will be sufficient for any country activities
- Utilize existing open spaces better.
- A country park would encourage visitors from outside the village, creating more traffic, noise etc.
- I don't think we need a country park given a) the heath and b) park lane site and c) real countryside all around. People need to be able to make use of real countryside.
- Provided existing public access to green spaces are protected! I would question whether a country park is a good use of resources
- Wish to protect the nature habitat north and west of village.
- Already got a number of Country Park areas.
- Not enough green space to accommodate Country Park.
- I do not think a Country Park is top priority. Promote Park Lane and other areas.
- More areas for family dog runs off lead.
- We have Tiptree Heath and the land off Newbridge Road where would it be situated.
- A Country Park will bring in more visitors and make it worse.
- Perhaps you could fence in Windmill Green as you have already budgeted for the concrete posts. Open space needed to exercise family dogs in safe environment or use bottom end Grove Road playing field.
- Will bring more people in from outside the area increasing traffic
- As for a country park where?

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

- CGS5 whether a country park is established or not the location makes a huge difference. From the jam factory end of church road, it is a long walk to the heath and not safe because of the lack of footpaths on Maldon road. A country park in the vicinity of the jam factory could be beneficial.
- Not a priority when there are other matters more important
- CGS preserve gravel pits for wildlife. Keep brown sites / pits where wildlife has made its home. Use fields already poisoned with chemical fertilizers and killed wildlife off.
- Area E - Inworth Grange area would be ideal as a country park, with its good wildlife in need to protection.
- No development on fields around gravel pits this should be the country park
- Country park for Tiptree. Best identified as F and E so not build to west
- The feedback has shown a great desire in E as a wildlife amenity resource. However, I feel positioning houses up to the pits will negate much the wildlife amenity we are trying to preserve
- The heath is okay as an open space and there are plenty of footpaths to walk round Tiptree.
- Do we really need a country park when we have the heath and park Lane plus the woods and a park for the children?
- No need for a country park with Tiptree Heath.
- We feel we have enough space?
- The village needs more play areas with a larger population. Green spaces on development will not happen as developers want to claim as many houses as possible.
- We have a country park (Tiptree heath and Park Lane) also Grove Lake site, it is enough cost to maintain.

C. Church Road / Centre - 21 comments

- I don't think car free areas work particularly well, they intend to encourage youths as they see it as a meeting area (village centre) and no amount of CCTV helps to stop anti-social behaviour. I would also not want to lose the builder's yard although I accept it would make a good car park.
- Generally, traffic along Church Road flows well. It is only when there are roadworks or deliveries that it gets blocked.
- Traffic through the village centre needs to be slowed down and more crossing points installed.
- I do not feel that Church Road is particularly busy. Relieving traffic means it needs to go elsewhere. Everywhere else is basically residential, so that means traffic being diverted through residential areas.
- I think we need traffic through centre, so visitors can see our vibrant shops and park and spend.
- Increasing car parking in village contradicts to relieve traffic in Church Road.
- We do not need to encourage more traffic to park in the village centre. Church Road is becoming more and more congested.
- Traffic along Church Road - I expect to be delayed when using a car along there but only by a few minutes which is not serious to warrant the changes suggested above.
- Coggeshall and Kelvedon have resisted all changes to their main road. Reduced speed is not a problem in Church Road, it is an asset.
- Do not wish to increase traffic through village centre. It works well as it is.
- Church Road is the centre of the village as a normal course.
- Disagree it's village not a town
- Relieving traffic along Church road could well affect retail as people will not bother to visit centre. As to maintaining a welcoming and attractive village centre, unfortunately this is no longer so for example, no effort made for Christmas from majority.
- Don't need extra car park spaces if reducing traffic flow through village centre
- Traffic should be removed from the village centre where possible. Existing trades located within the village are part of the character of the area
- Purely because I once saw plans to make the Centre pedestrian only and the subsequent routes focus seemed unacceptable. It would also take out valuable parking in front and behind the Centre.

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

- Where else would an alternative road go. No cost passed on to residents via council tax
- Church Road traffic will only be affected if development is of the wrong kind, i.e. not shops
- Not a priority when there are other matters more important TT6
- TT2. Impact on current businesses.
- Do not want to encourage more cars to Centre

D. Health Services – 18 comments

- With the increase of house building, the NHS must be involved in hospital / doctor / dentist improvements.
- Whilst I have not disagreed with any of the above, I do believe there is not improved provision for NHS doctors / dentist facilities, which will be vastly increased by people moving into the village.
- No more housing needed until infrastructure is improved and implemented. Doctors, dentists.
- Whilst agreeing all objectives there must be concern for increased support services. Particularly GP facilities.
- When will you be proposing new dentist's surgeries and a new health centre for all the new residents in 600 new houses.
- Better provision of doctors, dentist should be in place before new development
- 600 homes. How can we support that? What about doctors, for 600 more families?
- My top priority is improved health facilities. Two health centres and a second dentist. Any new housing should be rejected until these needs are provided. A replacement at Factory Hill is not a solution as it will be inaccessible to many Tiptree residents. A second facility there, is a solution.
- This must include improved health facilities to meet the needs of the growing population.
- Do not have facilities to provide healthcare for 600 houses.
- Tiptree needs doctors and dentists before houses built
- We need a bigger doctors' surgery.
- We need more medical facilities
- Dental surgery and an overloaded and inefficient doctors' surgery
- Comment: No further development until another doctors has been built. There will be over 10,000 people the need for another doctors is enormous.
- We need doctors
- Urgent need for an additional GP practice!!
- The plan needs to include increased medical centre and for it to be effective to cope with all the new houses.

E. HH6 & TT2 – 17 comments

- Development of west Tiptree is too broadly open, priority there must be a country park with housing on the fringe.
- I have concern that development on the west side of Tiptree will threaten the area round Inworth grange pits, which is a haven for values wildlife.
- Too many houses with no increase of infrastructure. What does this mean [HH1?]
- Although these options are valid I feel that options C and D are also appropriate for development
- I think as long as roads are improved there is no reason why C D and E shouldn't be developed on. I personally do not favour A and F.
- Also think locations C and D are viable options for growth of the village.
- Area D should not be discounted at this point in time
- What is going on the land opposite Tiptree Heath School? Sold by Dick Martin, road access, what plans are going through? Land sold in 2016. Does the Tiptree plan include the houses being built opposite Tiptree Heath School up to the pits?
- Country park for Tiptree. Best identified as F and E so not build to west

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

- I support the development of homes in A and F, but the feedback has shown a great desire in E as a wildlife amenity resource. However, I feel positioning houses up to the pits will negate much the wildlife amenity we are trying to preserve
- Homes and Housing last point no development to the WEST Traffic and Transport point 2 no roads through West of the village
- Prefer development to north to protect potential green spaces
- I don't agree with all the houses being in the NW that will create a ghetto. That amount of houses should be spread out about the village, smaller parcels would be far more balanced.
- I am concerned that there is still an assumption that development of housing will go NW of village. Housing should be spread out. The questionnaire is not conclusive on any particular area.
- By doing A and F you are moving the congestion to Kelvedon and Feering high street. The least congested route out of the village is Maldon Road / Braxted Road onto A12 etc. A roundabout could be located at the junction of Maldon Road / Braxted Road
- Need to even out development areas.
- A new road connecting Factory Hill and Maldon should be built from Nine Acres Roundabout. All development should take place between it and the existing dwellings with a buffer between. (Lives in Tolleshunt Knights).

F. Roads – 14 comments

- No more housing needed until infrastructure is improved and implemented. Roads.
- Make better for directing through traffic away from the village centre and improve junctions to facilitate this
- Area E would facilitate both housing and country park due to its size and the old gravel pits with access to the A12 without passing through the village.
- Area E would facilitate both housing and country park due to its size and the old gravel pits with access to the A12 without passing through the village.
- 600 homes mean at least 600 cars probably nearer 1200, 2 cars per family. How can we support that?
- Improved access to A12 needed before village is enlarged. Traffic leaving via Kelvedon in the morning is already heavy. More properties will only make it worse.
- Need to reduce traffic not speed it up [TT3]. More traffic to get to services!
- 600 homes within 15 years is too large, infrastructure will not be in place. Combine the figure with large developments at Tollgate, Marks Tey, Feering and Kelvedon means public transport and the A12 will be overloaded and not able to cope.
- Consideration should be given to longer term thinking and the possibility of a ring road. Traffic should be removed from the village centre where possible.
- The residents in these houses would need to access the village centre. Crossing the Maldon Road would be needed and this is a very busy road.
- Disagree to lots more roads - will lead to more cars - eventually need more roads.
- 600 homes are too many. I live in the north of the village and the Maldon Road is already horrendously busy with many more cars.
- Totally disagree with 600 homes in one area. Too much traffic in one place. The infrastructure will take years to complete. Will separate Tiptree into two areas
- By doing A and F you are moving the congestion to Kelvedon and Feering high street. The least congested route out of the village is Maldon Road / Braxted Road onto A12 etc. A roundabout could be located at the junction of Maldon Road / Braxted Road

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

G. Business and Employment – 14 comments

- Think we need to concentrate on infrastructure rather than business parks if you improve access to trains and A12 will mean more traffic in Tiptree
- Too many lorries already around village. Especially from A12 and to and from Beckingham business park.
- Disagree it's village not a town
- Surely the provision of business parks is not compatible with and attractive village.
- Do not want business parks in the village
- Business Parks bring more trade vehicles into the area which is what we are trying to alleviate
- Would bring more trade vehicles into Tiptree, lorries etc.
- I know that it is difficult, but we need to be more effective in attracting businesses to Tiptree. Wilkin will eventually leave us in all but name.
- I fear that business park would detract from the objective of retaining an attractive "village feel" and where would it / they be located.
- A business park would require a lot of improvements to the roads in and out of Tiptree.
- This would increase traffic flow which would be to the detriment of the village.
- Don't need a big business park.
- Although I understand that new businesses are required we also need to protect the ones already running as profit margins are low and we cannot sustain too many of any one type of business
- Can't see what business parks bring apart from employment, where they could sensibly go.

H. Car Parks – 13 comments

- I don't think car free areas work particularly well, they intend to encourage youths as they see it as a meeting area (village centre) and no amount of CCTV helps to stop anti-social behaviour. I would also not want to lose the builder's yard although I accept it would make a good car park.
- There are already numerous free car parking areas in the village centre. More car parks = more cars!
- Increasing car parking in village contradicts to relieve traffic in Church Road.
- We do not need to encourage more traffic to park in the village centre. Church Road is becoming more and more congested.
- 600 homes mean at least 600 cars probably nearer 1200, 2 cars per family. How can we support that?
- Disagree it's village not a town
- Don't need extra car park spaces if reducing traffic flow through village centre too many access routes could have safety implications
- Purely because I once saw plans to make the Centre pedestrian only and the subsequent routes focus seemed unacceptable. It would also take out valuable parking in front and behind the Centre.
- All are important. Biggest issue: No place to park!
- Agree with all. More parking.
- The Tesco / asda car parks provide good parking for the village shopping. Recently opened space between Lloyds and the surgery would make a very spacious parking area.
- Do not want to encourage more cars to Centre
- Parking should be outside of village centre to walk in.

I. TT1 - Rat runs – 10 comments

- To provide multiple access routes - depends where they are!
- Access routes - only need one in and out.
- Multiple access routes to new estates will cause rat-runs.
- Too many access routes could have safety implications
- Only small new estates required. One access more than sufficient.
- New estates to not have multiple access points to stop rat runs developing.

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

- TT1 no need for multiple access to new estates. I like the self-contained nature of new development on old football pitch.
- TT1 only single access routes
- One entrance to estates should be adequate especially as it may mean that the development is kept small
- With multiple access routes means more roads and accesses There is only one road in and out of our development which means we have to reduce our speed. Good thing (small development)

J. School – 8 comments

- No more housing needed until infrastructure is improved and implemented. Schools.
- Whilst agreeing all objectives there must be concern for increased support services. Particularly school places.
- You say the neighbourhood plan cannot influence education, but if you do not recommend affordable housing for families the school numbers will be affected.
- Better provision of schools should be in place before new development
- 600 homes. How can we support that? What about schools etc for 600 more families?
- Do not have facilities to provide schools for 600 houses.
- We need another secondary school
- The emphasis on housing young people otherwise you will be short of a primary school and the sixth form at Thurstable goes. Watch the numbers or history will repeat itself when in the 1980s Thurstable dropped from 1200 to 800.

K. Relocation of businesses – 8 comments

- I would also not want to lose the builder's yard although I accept it would make a good car park.
- It depends what businesses are being re-located.
- Disagree it's a village not a town
- More traffic to get to services!
- If business is asked to relocate the village runs the risk of moving away completely
- Existing trades located within the village are part of the character of the area
- There should be a place for all trades in the village
- Business outside of village centre.

L. Traffic & Transport – 8 comments

- Transport: when at work I was dropped off at Kelvedon station by car. If I could have used a reliable bus, I would, to be at the station by 7 at the latest.
- If you improve access to trains and A12 will mean more traffic in Tiptree
- Need to reduce traffic not speed it up
- [TT6] & Maldon Road
- 600 homes within 15 years is too large, infrastructure will not be in place. Combine the figure with large developments at Tollgate, Marks Tey, Feering and Kelvedon means public transport and the A12 will be overloaded and not able to cope.
- To improve provision of paths and cycleways in existing settlement
- The biggest problem with Tiptree has been the withdrawal of the late busses. People without cars are virtual prisoners in the village after 1900 hrs
- Public transport should be a priority to reduce the movement of motor vehicles to and through Tiptree.

Vision and Objectives Feedback Results

M. Not related to the consultation – 6 comments

- The land marked C is already under development in Factory Hill opposite Wilkins! * Settlement border has already been revised to accommodate the above.
- Swimming pools, toilets, indoor play area
- Why close pump track the nature of the sport is the excitement and the thrill of the bumps and spills. Kids ride with parental consent. I run regularly round village thro and out on village roads. Stop residents parking on pavements, obstructs runners, push chairs. Dog poo!! on the paths and green spaces is getting worse. What is affordable housing? Three times average earnings.
- Suggestion. 1. to give donations from KDAA to a fund to upkeep Tiptree duck pond. 2. find an investor to make Tiptree work shop a cafe toilet - workshop. \They take the profit of the cafe.
- Maintain public pavements ENSURE overhanging bushes and encroaching hedges are kept trimmed to boundary lines. An example is in Station Road about 60-70 feet of pavement is ALWAYS obstructed by at LEAST 2-3 feet!
- Visiting the exhibition - gave no information that the Sports Centre is in danger of being closed by Colchester BC - due to underuse. Build a swimming pool and Print Museum.

N. Objective wording – 4 comments

- 1. You can't sustain excellent facilities as the facilities are not excellent.
- What does this mean [HH1?]
- What "excellent local facilities"? 1. Dental surgery and an overloaded and inefficient doctors' surgery
- Some of the questions are meaningless jargon

O. Compliment – 4 comments

- I ticked a fair number of NO but that is not because I do not value your work, I just answered the questions. You are doing a great job, and would certainly vote yes to a village plan based on your work, thank-you
- Good luck you are doing a really good job I am impressed by all the hard work, congratulations!! BE3
- General comment: The group are doing an amazing job and have captured the mood and opinion of the community impeccably.
- Interesting analysis. Please continue to provide opportunities to understand progress with the plan.

P. Don't live in Tiptree - close connection – 4 comments

- live just in sight of Tiptree
- Tolleshunt Knights near Tiptree
- (but own a property in Tiptree)
- I live in D'Arcy Road Tolleshunt Knights.

Q. Police / Safety – 3 comments

- Do not have facilities to provide safety for 600 houses.
- All are important. Biggest issue: Crime
- We need police

R. Village Identity – 3 comments

- Have we got local distinctiveness?
- The comment "attractive village centre " is untrue planning consent in the past has made it ugly.
- Re VID25 - heritage and local distinctiveness a bit parochial. Both features will be automatic and integral part of sustainable development.